UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/548,407	09/08/2005	Chiaki Yokoyama	TAN-354	8005	
62479 HAHN & VOIO	7590 08/06/200 GHT PLLC	9	EXAMINER		
1012 14TH STI	REET, NW		WANG, CHUN CHENG		
SUITE 620 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/548,407	YOKOYAMA ET	AL.			
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chun-Cheng Wang	1796				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Chun-Cheng Wang</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Roger Hahn</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 August 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 5</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 6849774</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. to move the limitations of claim 5 to claim 1; 2. to look at the effect of the particle size fo patentability and 3. to look at the possibility of conducting experiments related to the a/b ratio.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Ling-Siu Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796						